



WILMER. CUTLER & PICKERING

2445 M STREET, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037-1420

MARGARET I ACKERIEY DIRECT LINE (202) 663-6838

TELEPHONE (202) 663-6000 FACSIMILE (202) 663-6363

December 19, 1997

4 CARLTON GARDENS LONDON SWIY 5AA TELEPHONE OII (4471) 839-4466 FACSIMILE OII (4471) 839-3537

RUE DE LA LOI 15 WETSTRAAT B-1040 BRUSSELS TELEPHONE OII (322) 231-0903 FACSIMILE OII (322) 230-4322

FRIEDRICHSTRASSE 95 BRIEFKASTEN 29 DJOUZ BERLIN TELEPHONE OII (4930) 2643-3601 FACSIMILE OII (4930) 2643-3630

BY FACSIMILE AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Nancy Bell, Esq. Office of General Counsel **Federal Election Commission** 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: MUR 4594

Dear Ms. Bell:

I am in receipt of your letter of December 18, 1997, and write to seek clarification. You state in your letter that "the Commission authorized this Office to file a civil suit to enforce the Subpoena and Order unless your client provides a complete response per our agreement" and noted that such response is due on January 16, 1998. I assume that "our agreement" refers to my letter to you of December 3, 1997, which memorializes a conversation in which we agreed that in response to the subpoena CAL will produce responsive information and documents where such exist going back to November 1992 for Interrogatories 2, 3, 4 and 6 and Document Requests 1, 3, 4 and 7 and back to January 1984 for all other Interrogatories and Document Requests. CAL intends to respond to the subpoena according to these terms by January 16 and understands that the Commission will take no further action before that time.

If my understanding as to the above points is incorrect in any way, I trust that you will let me know.

Sincerely.



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

December 18, 1997

BY FACSIMILE & FIRST CLASS MAIL

Margaret L. Ackerley, Esq. Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1420

RE: MUR 4594

China Airlines, Ltd.

Dear Margaret:

As I previously discussed with you, this Office was required to report to the Commission regarding the status of the negotiations for China Airlines, Ltd. ("CAL") subpoena compliance. Taking into account the length of the negotiations and the status of the then outstanding Subpoena, the Commission decided that it could no longer hold CAL's motion to quash in abeyance and denied your motion. At the same time, the Commission authorized this Office to file a civil suit to enforce the Subpoena and Order unless your client provides a complete response per our agreement. Your client's response to the Subpoena and Order is due on January 16, 1998. We are hopeful that the response will result in satisfactory compliance thus obviating the need for further proceedings.

Should you have any questions, please contact me or Xavier McDonnell at (202) 219-3690.

Sincerely,

Nancy E. Bell

Attorney